

2000s-40

Consumption-Leisure Choice with Habit Formation

Aylin Seckin

Série Scientifique
Scientific Series



CIRANO
Centre interuniversitaire de recherche
en analyse des organisations

Montréal
Octobre 2000

CIRANO

Le CIRANO est un organisme sans but lucratif constitué en vertu de la Loi des compagnies du Québec. Le financement de son infrastructure et de ses activités de recherche provient des cotisations de ses organisations-membres, d'une subvention d'infrastructure du ministère de la Recherche, de la Science et de la Technologie, de même que des subventions et mandats obtenus par ses équipes de recherche.

CIRANO is a private non-profit organization incorporated under the Québec Companies Act. Its infrastructure and research activities are funded through fees paid by member organizations, an infrastructure grant from the Ministère de la Recherche, de la Science et de la Technologie, and grants and research mandates obtained by its research teams.

Les organisations-partenaires / The Partner Organizations

- École des Hautes Études Commerciales
- École Polytechnique
- Université Concordia
- Université de Montréal
- Université du Québec à Montréal
- Université Laval
- Université McGill
- MEQ
- MRST
- Alcan Aluminium Ltée
- AXA Canada
- Banque Nationale du Canada
- Banque Royale du Canada
- Bell Québec
- Bombardier
- Bourse de Montréal
- Développement des ressources humaines Canada (DRHC)
- Fédération des caisses populaires Desjardins de Montréal et de l'Ouest-du-Québec
- Hydro-Québec
- Imasco
- Industrie Canada
- Pratt & Whitney Canada Inc.
- Raymond Chabot Grant Thornton
- Ville de Montréal

© 2000 Aylin Seckin. Tous droits réservés. All rights reserved.

Reproduction partielle permise avec citation du document source, incluant la notice ©.

Short sections may be quoted without explicit permission, provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

Ce document est publié dans l'intention de rendre accessibles les résultats préliminaires de la recherche effectuée au CIRANO, afin de susciter des échanges et des suggestions. Les idées et les opinions émises sont sous l'unique responsabilité des auteurs, et ne représentent pas nécessairement les positions du CIRANO ou de ses partenaires.

This paper presents preliminary research carried out at CIRANO and aims at encouraging discussion and comment. The observations and viewpoints expressed are the sole responsibility of the authors. They do not necessarily represent positions of CIRANO or its partners.

Consumption-Leisure Choice with Habit Formation^{*}

Aylin Seckin[†]

Résumé / Abstract

Dans un modèle intertemporel de consommation et de loisir avec incertitude salariale et formation d'habitudes, nous avons démontré que la consommation et le loisir se déplacent vers des directions opposées, ce qui est consistant avec la procyclicité observée des heures travaillées.

In an intertemporal consumption-leisure choice model with wage uncertainty, and habit-forming consumption, we have shown that, consumption and leisure do move in opposite directions, consistent with the observed procyclicality of aggregate hours worked.

Mots Clés : Formation d'habitudes, choix de consommation/loisir

Keywords: Habit formation, consumption-leisure choice

JEL: E21, E24, D91

^{*} Corresponding Author: Aylin Seckin, Department of Economics, Mount Allison University, 10 King Street, Sackville, New Brunswick, Canada, E4L 1A3

Tel.: (506) 364 2355

Fax: (506) 364 2625

email: aseckin@mta.ca

[†] Mount Allison University and CIRANO

1. Introduction

Intertemporal substitution of consumption and leisure is an important topic in the modern macroeconomic theory. In a perfect certainty model with permanent productivity shocks, Barro and King (1984) argued that, with time-non-separable preferences consumption and leisure may move in opposite directions, consistent with the observed pro-cyclicality of aggregate hours worked. Several authors then have argued that temporal non-separabilities in preferences may be introduced to explain fluctuations to be characterized by a negative covariation of consumption and unemployment. Kydland and Prescott (1982), Eichenbaum, Hansen and Singleton (1988), Kennan (1988), Hotz, Kydland and Sedlacek (1988) incorporate an intertemporally non-separable utility function in their model to explain the observed behavior of consumption and labor during the business cycle.

In this paper, we will allow non-separability in preferences by assuming habit formation in consumption. The motivation behind this setting is to examine the implications of habit-forming preferences in consumption to current and future consumption and leisure choices. We want to investigate, in particular, whether only habit formation in consumption is capable of generating intertemporal substitution effects.

Habit formation has been used in several contexts in economics. The implications of habit formation were first discussed in Duesenberry's work (1949). His proposition was that families are willing to sacrifice saving in order to protect their living standards. In the event of a fall in income, consumption will not fall proportionately, producing a ratchet effect.

Whereas time-separable preferences imply that current utility depends only on current consumption, time-non-separable preferences with habit formation imply that past real consumption patterns and levels form consumer habits which persist long enough to slow down the effects of current income changes on current consumption. For a given level of current expenditures, past purchases contribute to a habit stock. Hence, it is an increase of current consumption over and above the habit stock which raises current utility.

Recent empirical papers in the consumption literature have argued for the role of habits in determining consumption. Constantinides (1990), Ferson and Constantinides (1991), Dynan (1993), Carroll, Overland and Weil (1995), Heaton (1995), Garcia, Lusardi and Ng (1997), Fuhrer and Klein (1998) are among others.

In this work, with an example of multiplicative utility function, we have shown that the intratemporal marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure, as well as the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution of leisure are

higher with the habit-forming consumption behavior. The main conclusion of the paper is that consumption and leisure do move in opposite directions, consistent with the observed pro-cyclicality of aggregate hours worked.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the dynamic optimization model of consumption-leisure with habit formation and discusses the results obtained. Section 3 concludes the paper.

2. The Model

In this section, we introduce our model of consumption-leisure with non-separable preferences in consumption. Suppose that a representative consumer maximizes the lifetime utility subject to the budget constraint.

$$Max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}, \{l_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}, \{A_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t, x_t, l_t) \quad (1)$$

$$s.t. \quad A_{t+1} = (1 + r_t) [A_t + w_t(1 - l_t) - c_t] \quad (2)$$

where $E_t(\cdot)$ denotes expectations conditional on the information available at time t . Since the preferences are time-non-separable in consumption, the current utility will depend not only on current leisure and current consumption but also on the habit stock, x_t . The utility function is assumed to be a Cobb-Douglas utility

function,

$$U(c_t, c_{t-1}, l_t) = \frac{1}{\theta} [c_t^\theta x_t^{-\theta\alpha}] \frac{1}{\eta} l_t^\eta \quad (3)$$

where θ and η are preference parameters between zero and one. The habit formation parameter α is the degree to which habit stock affects current utility and is between zero and one. Habit stock x_t is a weighted average of all past consumptions and can be defined as:

$$x_t \equiv (1 - \zeta) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta^j c_{t-1-j} \quad (4)$$

where weights add to one with $(1 - \zeta)$ being the depreciation parameter of habits, $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$. When the depreciation of habits is equal to one, ($\zeta = 0$), i.e., the case where past values of consumption before c_{t-1} do not affect the habit stock, we have the simple model which reflects one-period habit formation, i.e. $x_t = c_{t-1}$.

The individual makes decision about next period assets A_{t+1} , current leisure l_t and current consumption c_t subject to the budget constraint. In each period t , total time available for leisure l_t and work h_t is assumed to be limited to one unit, that is a day. We assume time-varying interest rates, r_t , with a w_t is the real wage rate, and with the consumption good being numeraire. As of the beginning of period t , the realizations of the real wage rate w_t are known to the individual

but future realizations are unknown and random.

We characterize the individual's maximization problem as a dynamic programming problem such as:

$$V(A_t, c_{t-1}, w_t) = \text{Max} \frac{1}{\theta} [c_t^\theta c_{t-1}^{-\theta\alpha}] \frac{1}{\eta} l_t^\eta + \beta E_t V(A_{t+1}, c_t, w_{t+1}) \quad (5)$$

$$c_t, l_t, A_{t+1}$$

$$s.t. \quad A_{t+1} = (1 + r_t) [A_t + w_t(1 - l_t) - c_t]$$

Then the Euler equation for consumption is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & c_t^{\theta-1} c_{t-1}^{-\theta\alpha} \frac{1}{\eta} l_t^\eta - \alpha \beta^2 E_t \left[c_{t+1}^\theta c_t^{-\theta\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\eta} l_{t+1}^\eta \right] \\ = & \beta(1 + r_t) E_t \left[c_{t+1}^{\theta-1} c_t^{-\theta\alpha} \frac{1}{\eta} l_{t+1}^\eta - \alpha \beta c_{t+2}^\theta c_{t+1}^{-\theta\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\eta} l_{t+2}^\eta \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The Euler equation for leisure is:

$$c_t^\theta c_{t-1}^{-\theta\alpha} \frac{1}{\theta} l_t^{\eta-1} = \beta(1 + r_t) E_t \left[\frac{w_t}{w_{t+1}} c_{t+1}^\theta c_t^{-\theta\alpha} \frac{1}{\theta} l_{t+1}^{\eta-1} \right] \quad (7)$$

Now let us define:

$$\frac{1}{\theta}c_t^\theta c_{t-1}^{-\theta\alpha} \frac{1}{\eta} l_t^\eta \equiv U(\hat{c}_t, l_t) \quad (8)$$

so that the marginal utility of leisure, $U_l(\hat{c}_t, l_t)$, is equal to:

$$U_l(\hat{c}_t, l_t) = \frac{1}{\theta} c_t^\theta c_{t-1}^{-\theta\alpha} l_t^{\eta-1} \quad (9)$$

and $MU_c(\hat{c}_t, l_t)$, the net marginal utility of consumption, is:

$$MU_c(\hat{c}_t, l_t) = \left[c_t^{\theta-1} c_{t-1}^{-\theta\alpha} \frac{1}{\eta} l_t^\eta - \alpha \beta E_t \left\{ c_{t+1}^\theta c_t^{-\theta\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\eta} l_{t+1}^\eta \right\} \right] \quad (10)$$

Then we can write the intratemporal marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure, $MRS_{|\alpha>0}^{intra}$, as:

$$\begin{aligned} MRS_{|\alpha>0}^{intra} &= \frac{U_l(\hat{c}_t, l_t)}{MU_c(\hat{c}_t, l_t)} = \left(\frac{\eta c_t}{\theta l_t} \right) \frac{U(\hat{c}_t, l_t)}{[U(\hat{c}_t, l_t) - \alpha \beta E_t U(\hat{c}_{t+1}, l_{t+1})]} \\ &= MRS_{|\alpha=0}^{intra} \times \frac{1}{\left[1 - \alpha \beta \frac{E_t U(\hat{c}_{t+1}, l_{t+1})}{U(\hat{c}_t, l_t)} \right]} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Since the second term is greater than one, the intratemporal marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure when there is habit formation in consumption is higher than the case with no habit formation. This result

implies that the intratemporal marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure is higher when there is habit formation. The individual takes more leisure today, i.e., he or she is less willing to intratemporally substitute between consumption and leisure. Thus, with habit formation, the intratemporal elasticity of substitution between current consumption and current leisure is lower, implying that the individual will prefer to consume less of consumption goods and more of leisure.

We can also calculate the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution between current and future leisure as:

$$MRS_{|\alpha>0}^{inter} = \frac{U_l(\hat{c}_t, l_t)}{E_t U_l(\hat{c}_{t+1}, l_{t+1})} = \left[\frac{l_t^{\eta-1} \frac{1}{\theta} c_t^\theta c_{t-1}^{-\alpha\theta}}{E_t \left\{ l_{t+1}^{\eta-1} \frac{1}{\theta} c_{t+1}^\theta \right\} c_t^{-\alpha\theta}} \right] \quad (12)$$

Then, (9) can be divided into two terms:

$$MRS_{|\alpha>0}^{inter} = \underbrace{\left[\frac{l_t^{\eta-1} \frac{1}{\theta} c_t^\theta}{E_t \left\{ l_{t+1}^{\eta-1} \frac{1}{\theta} c_{t+1}^\theta \right\}} \right]}_{\text{first term}} \left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}} \right)^{\alpha\theta} \quad (13)$$

where the first term is the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution between current leisure and future leisure when there is no habit formation and the second term is a function of the growth rate of consumption.

To find the effect of an increase in the strength of habit formation α on

the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution between leisure today and leisure tomorrow,

$$\frac{dMRS_{|\alpha>0}^{inter}}{d\alpha} = MRS_{|\alpha=0}^{inter} \times \frac{d\left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}}\right)^{\alpha\theta}}{d\alpha} \quad (14)$$

Since,

$$\frac{d\left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}}\right)^{\alpha\theta}}{d\alpha} = \left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}}\right)^{\alpha\theta} \ln\left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}}\right)^{\theta} > 0$$

Therefore, $MRS_{|\alpha>0}^{inter}$ is greater than $MRS_{|\alpha=0}^{inter}$. Thus, habit formation increases the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution between current and future leisure.

$$\frac{U_l(\hat{c}_t, l_t)}{E_t U_l(\hat{c}_{t+1}, l_{t+1})} > \frac{U_l(c_t, l_t)}{E_t U_l(c_{t+1}, l_{t+1})} \quad (15)$$

This implies that the individual would consume less leisure in the future and take more leisure today in the model with habit formation. In another words, the habit-forming consumer is someone who is less willing to intertemporally substitute between current and future leisure.

3. Conclusion

In an intertemporal consumption-leisure model with wage uncertainty, we have examined the implications of habit-forming consumption on the choices of con-

sumption and leisure. When we consider a preference functional of a multiplicative form, we have obtained that habit formation increases both the intratemporal marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure and the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution between current and future leisure. The former basically implies that the individual is less willing to substitute between current leisure and current consumption. On the other hand, habit formation in consumption also increases the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution between current and future leisure, implying that the individual is less willing to intertemporally substitute between current and future leisure. Thus we have shown that, with habit formation in consumption, consumption and leisure do move in opposite directions, consistent with the observed pro-cyclicality of aggregate hours worked.

The model presented here suggests that recent attention in the literature concerning non-separable preferences is not unwarranted and empirical tests based on this work might give richer insights to better understand the behavior of consumption and leisure during the business cycle.

References

Barro, R. and R. King, 1984, Time Separable Preferences and Intertemporal Substitution Models of Business Cycles, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 84, 817-839.

Carroll, C., J. Overland and D. Weil, 1995, Saving and Growth with Habit Formation, Federal Reserve Board Finance and Economics Discussion Series no.95-42.

Constantinides, G., 1990, Habit Formation: A Resolution of the Equity Premium Puzzle, *Journal of Political Economy* 98, 519-43.

Duesenberry, J., 1949, *Income, Saving, and the Theory of Consumer Behavior*, (Harvard University Press, Cambridge).

Dynan, K., 1993, Habit Formation in Consumer Preferences: Evidence from Panel Data, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, no.143.

Eichenbaum, M., L. Hansen and K. Singleton, 1988, A Time Series Analysis of Representative Agent Models of Consumption and Leisure Choice Under Uncertainty, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 103, 51-78.

Ferson, W. and G. Constantinides, 1991, Habit Persistence and Durability in Aggregate Consumption, Empirical Tests, *Journal of Financial Economics* 29, 199-240.

Fuhrer, J. and W. Klein, 1998, Risky Habits: On risk sharing, Habit Formation, and Interpretation of International Consumption Correlations, NBER Working paper no.6736.

Garcia R., A. Lusardi and S. Ng, 1997, Excess Sensitivity and Asymmetries in Consumption: An Empirical Investigation, *Journal of Money, Banking and Credit*

29, 154-176.

Heaton, J., 1995, An Empirical Investigation of Asset Pricing with Temporally Dependent Preference Specifications, *Econometrica* 63, 681-717.

Hotz J., F. Kydland and G. Sedlacek, 1988, Intertemporal Preferences and labor Supply, *Econometrica* 56, 335-360.

Kennan J., 1988, An Econometric Analysis of Fluctuations in Aggregate Labor Supply and Demand, *Econometrica* 56, 317-333.

Kydland F. and E. Prescott, 1982, Time to Build and the Persistence of Unemployment, *Econometrica* 50, 1345-1370.

Liste des publications au CIRANO *

Cahiers CIRANO / *CIRANO Papers* (ISSN 1198-8169)

- 99c-1 Les Expos, l'OSM, les universités, les hôpitaux : Le coût d'un déficit de 400 000 emplois au Québec — Expos, Montréal Symphony Orchestra, Universities, Hospitals: The Cost of a 400,000-Job Shortfall in Québec / Marcel Boyer
- 96c-1 Peut-on créer des emplois en réglementant le temps de travail? / Robert Lacroix
- 95c-2 Anomalies de marché et sélection des titres au Canada / Richard Guay, Jean-François L'Her et Jean-Marc Suret
- 95c-1 La réglementation incitative / Marcel Boyer
- 94c-3 L'importance relative des gouvernements : causes, conséquences et organisations alternative / Claude Montmarquette
- 94c-2 Commercial Bankruptcy and Financial Reorganization in Canada / Jocelyn Martel
- 94c-1 Faire ou faire faire : La perspective de l'économie des organisations / Michel Patry

Série Scientifique / *Scientific Series* (ISSN 1198-8177)

- 2000s-39 Consumption with Habit Formation / Aylin Seckin
- 2000s-38 Consumption with Durability / Aylin Seckin
- 2000s-37 Le financement des biens publics par des contributions volontaires: Une évaluation à l'aide de l'économie expérimentale / Claudia Keser
- 2000s-36 Conventions and Local Interaction Structures: Experimental Evidence / Siegfried K. Berninghaus, Karl-Martin Ehrhart et Claudia Keser
- 2000s-35 Strategically Planned Behavior in Public Good Experiments / Claudia Keser
- 2000s-34 Why Do Experimental Subjects Choose an Equilibrium which Is Neither Payoff Nor Risk Dominant? / Claudia Keser et Bodo Vogt
- 2000s-33 The Measurement and Antecedents of Turnover Intentions among IT Professionals / Guy Paré et Michel Tremblay
- 2000s-32 Can the Theory of Incentives Explain Decentralization? / Michel Poitevin
- 2000s-31 IT Outsourcing Risk Management at British Petroleum / Benoit A. Aubert, Michel Patry, Suzanne Rivard et Heather Smith
- 2000s-30 A Resource-Based Analysis of IT Outsourcing / Vital Roy et Benoit Aubert
- 2000s-29 The Impact of Government-Sponsored Training Programs on the Labor Market Transitions of Disadvantaged Men / Lucie Gilbert, Thierry Kamionka et Guy Lacroix
- 2000s-28 Hope against Hope: Persistent Canadian Unions in the Interwar Years / Michael Huberman et Denise Young
- 2000s-27 The Impact of Human Resources Practices on IT Personnel Commitment, Citizenship Behaviors and Turnover Intentions / Guy Paré et Michel Tremblay

* Vous pouvez consulter la liste complète des publications du CIRANO et les publications elles-mêmes sur notre site Internet à l'adresse suivante :

<http://www.cirano.umontreal.ca/publication/documents.html>